Tracing the origins of athlete development models in sport: a citation path analysis
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Reviews of the sport psychology literature have identified a number of models of athlete development in sport (Alfermann & Stambulova, 2007; Durand-Bush & Salmela, 2001). However, minimal research has investigated the origins of knowledge from which each model was developed. The purpose of this study was to systematically examine the influential texts responsible for providing the basis of athlete development models in sport. A citation path analysis of the sport psychology literature was used to generate a knowledge development path of seven athlete development models in sport. The analysis identified influential texts and authors in the conceptualization of athlete development. The population of 229 texts (articles, books, book chapters) was selected in two phases. Phase 1 texts were articles citing seven articles depicting models of athlete development (n = 75). Phase 2 included texts cited three or more times by Phase 1 articles (n = 154). The analysis revealed how the scholarship of Benjamin Bloom (1985) has been integrated into the field of sport psychology, and how two articles appearing in 1993 and 2003 helped shape present conceptualizations of athlete development.
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Introduction

Over the last three decades, a number of athlete development models in sport have been proposed. A review of the sport psychology literature by Durand-Bush and Salmela (2001) identified two models (Bloom, 1985; Côté, 1999) depicting an athlete's progression to elite sport. Another review conducted by Alfermann and Stambulova (2007) highlighted three additional models (Salmela, 1994; Stambulova, 1994; Wylieman & Lavallee, 2004) along with the two original models identified by Durand-Bush and Salmela (2001). More recently, Bruner, Côté, Erickson, and Wilson (2008) conducted a citation network analysis of seven athlete development models in sport (Abbott & Collins, 2004; Bailey & Morley, 2006; Côté, 1999; Durand-Bush & Salmela, 2002; Morgan & Giacobbi, 2006; Stambulova, 1994; Wylieman, Alfermann & Lavallee, 2004). The citation analysis revealed two distinct bodies of research related to athlete development: 1) talent development including five models of athlete development (Abbott & Collins, 2004; Bailey & Morley, 2006; Côté, 1999; Durand-Bush & Salmela, 2002; Morgan & Giacobbi, 2006) and 2) career transitions including two models of athlete development (Stambulova, 1994; Wylieman et al., 2004). This finding is consistent with review articles and chapters on
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athlete development in the sport psychology literature that tend to focus on either talent development or career transitions (i.e. Alfermann & Stambulova, 2007; Durand-Bush & Salmela, 2001).

While often viewed as distinct, the two sub-groups of models on athlete development (talent development and career transitions) are often reviewed and discussed independent of the specific areas of research that form the basis of the two predominant approaches. The objective of this review is to enhance our understanding of the origins of research shaping present conceptual models of athlete development. An understanding of the lineage molding each approach is necessary to further advance and integrate the knowledge on athlete development.

Athlete development models based upon the talent development literature have been influenced by the field of cognitive psychology, skill acquisition, and expertise in domains such as music, art, and chess. The work of Bloom (1985), Chase and Simon (1973), and Ericsson (e.g., Ericsson, Chase, & Faloon, 1980; Ericsson, Krampe, & Tesch-Römer, 1993) have profoundly affected talent development research in sport over the last 30 years and subsequently the conceptualization of talent development models in sport. In their pioneering research, Bloom and colleagues (1985) inferred a general pattern of development that appeared necessary to reach elite performance in sport, science, mathematics, music and art. Ericsson and his colleagues (Ericsson, 1996; Ericsson et al., 1993; Ericsson & Lehmann, 1996) used an expert performance approach (Chase & Simon, 1973), to demonstrate that expert performance in music, chess, sport, and other domains should be viewed as consequences of attaining a sequence of increasingly challenging goals through extended deliberate practice, rather than reflecting innate talents.

A sizable body of evidence from sport researchers supports Ericsson and colleagues contention about the role of deliberate practice and early specialization in the attainment of expertise in sport (e.g., Deakin & Cobley, 2003; Helsen, Starkes, & Hodges, 1998; Hodges & Starkes, 1996; Starkes, Deakin, Allard, Hodges & Hayes, 1996). Consequently, athlete development models based on talent development research tend to include deliberate practice as one of the most important elements of becoming an elite level athlete. However, specific studies (e.g. Baker, Abernethy, & Côté, 2003; Carlson, 1988; Orlick & Partington, 1988; Soberlak & Côté, 2003) examining athletes’ pathways towards performance or continued participation in sport identified additional key elements of talent development (e.g. deliberate play, early diversification, role of parents, peers, etc; Abbott & Collins, 2004; Bailey & Morley, 2006; Côté, 1999; Durand-Bush & Salmela, 2002; Morgan & Giacobbi, 2006) that have been integrated into conceptual models of athlete development.

The second approach to athlete development in sport is based on the career transition literature. Researchers in sport have been interested in the transitions of athletes for quite some time (e.g., Hallen, 1965; Mihovilovic, 1968). The number of investigations into athlete career transitions has risen substantially since the late 1960s. A review of the literature by McPherson in 1980 revealed 20 articles on athlete career transitions while two more recent reviews by Lavallee and colleagues (1998a; 1998b) found 226 articles on the topic. The increased attention has brought about several key developments including major shifts in research foci and theoretical frameworks, the consideration of contextual factors, and the construction of a position statement (1997) and monograph (1999) on career transitions in sport by the
European Federation of Sport Psychology (FEPSAC) (Alfermann & Stambulova, 2007; Wylleman et al., 2004; Wylleman, Lavallee, & Alfermann, 1999).

Much of the early transition research in sport psychology has focused on understanding how the transitions out of elite sport influence the athlete (e.g., Baillie & Danish, 1992; Ogilvie & Howe, 1986; Pearson & Petitpas, 1990; Taylor & Ogilvie, 1994; Werthner & Öllick, 1986). An important development attributed to this body of research is the ‘holistic’ perspective on the athlete’s career rather than one solitary event in time (e.g., career termination; Wylleman, Lavallee, & Alfermann, 1999) and increased attention to the psycho-social variables that affect development over a number of critical transitions in a sport career. Accordingly, researchers now hold a developmental perspective on athlete transitions. Athletes are viewed as undertaking a number of important career transitions which are influenced by transitions in other spheres (psychological, psycho-social, academic) of the athlete’s life (Wylleman & Lavallee, 2004).

The present developmental perspective on career transitions has been heavily influenced by the work of Schlossberg (1981) in the area of counseling psychology. Schlossberg (1981) proposed a conceptual framework that identified three factors contributing to the adaptation of an individual to a transition. These include the characteristics of the individual (e.g., age, past experience with a similar transition), characteristics of pre-transition and post-transition environments (e.g., social support of friends and family, institutional support), and perception of the particular transition (e.g., gradual or sudden event, degree of stress, positive or negative affect). While much of the early work in athlete transitions adapted Schlossberg’s (1981) framework to better understand and improve athlete disengagement from sport (e.g., Pearson & Petitpas, 1990), recent studies have focused on how social (e.g., coaches, parents, peers) and societal (e.g., sport system, culture) influences contribute to athlete development and transitions (Bruner, Munroe-Chandler, & Spink, 2008; Stambulova, Stephan, & Järphag, 2007; Wylleman, De Knop, Ewing, & Cumming, 2000).

Qualitative reviews (Alfermann & Stambulova, 2007; Durand-Bush & Salmela, 1991) and citation analysis of athlete development models (Bruner, Côté et al., 2008) have clearly identified two cohesive sub-groups of models that permeate the literature. However, qualitative reviews and citation analysis did not reflect, in a systematic manner, the incremental development of knowledge over time and the sequence of texts that constitute the foundation of our present knowledge. Main path analysis is a citation analysis technique that was developed to focus on the flow of knowledge over time by identifying the publications that are the crucial links in the literature on a particular topic (de Nooy, Mrvar, & Batagelj, 2005). Citation path analysis structurally analyzes the connectivity of the citation practices in a field with a goal of identifying the main stream of literature shaping the present understanding of a topic (Hummon & Doreian, 1989). The connectivity of the citations are encompassed in a complex social network comprised of nodes (articles) and links between the nodes (Barabási, 2003; Barabási & Bonabeau, 2003).

Researchers examining networks in a variety of fields (mathematics, physics, cell biology, engineering, technology) categorize article citations as being a scale-free rather than random network (Barabási & Bonabeau, 2003). The authors base this assumption on graph theory and suggest that citations are not random networks with most nodes (articles) having approximately the same number of links to other
articles (Barabási & Bonabeau, 2003). In contrast, article citation networks resemble a distribution in which most nodes (articles) have just a few connections and some central nodes (articles) known as ‘connectors’ or ‘hubs’ have a high number of links to other articles (Barabási, 2003; Barabási & Bonabeau, 2003). A common analogy used by researchers to differentiate the two types of networks is a uniform road grid for Random Networks, in which the cities are linked by major highways compared to an airline flight system for Scale-Free Networks, in which a large number of smaller airports are connected to each other through a few major hubs (Barabási & Bonabeau, 2003).

Considering the diverse origins of the athlete development literature, it is important to identify through main path analysis the most important citations or central ‘hubs’ that constitute the foundation of our present understanding of athlete development models. Undertaking this analysis will move beyond descriptions of athlete development models and provide a novel perspective on the interconnectedness of the athlete development literature.

Methods
The main path analysis of the sport psychology literature was conducted in two phases. In Phase I, based on Bruner, Côté et al., (2008) citation analysis, conceptual models of athlete development in sport were identified based upon seven criteria:

1. presenting a conceptualization of development;
2. specific to the sport domain;
3. sport-general, not sport-specific (e.g., not a basketball- or swimming-only development model);
4. covering more than one age, phase, or transition;
5. culture-general (e.g., not a North American-only development model);
6. gender-general (e.g., not a female- or male-only development model) and;
7. having been published in a peer-reviewed, English language, academic journal.

In total, seven articles representing seven distinct models of athlete development in sport were selected as the base of the article population (i.e., Abbott & Collins, 2004; Bailey & Morley, 2006; Côté, 1999; Durand-Bush & Salmela, 2002; Morgan & Giacobbi, 2006; Stambulova, 1994; Wylleman et al., 2004). The full Phase 1 article search was undertaken in November–December 2007 and was restricted to articles citing at least one of the seven model articles from two databases: 1) Web of Science and, 2) Scopus. Web of Science is comprised of five independent indices providing access to over 16,750 journals including all major sport and exercise periodicals (ISI Web of Knowledge, 2008). The Scopus online database contains over 15,000 peer-reviewed journals from a variety of disciplines (Scopus, 2008). After eliminating non-English language articles and articles not meeting the proposed criteria, a total of 75 peer-reviewed articles (including the original seven model articles) comprised the Phase 1 text population.

To create a main path of the Phase 1 literature, the text population in Phase 2 was expanded to include articles, books, and book chapters (not in the original text population) that were cited three or more times in the original 75 articles. The
expanded search identified an additional 154 texts. The texts included articles, books, and book chapters but were not required to be in the field of sport psychology or appear in the Web of Science or the Scopus databases. Collectively, the main path analysis is based on 229 texts – 75 from Phase 1 and 154 from Phase 2.

Procedure
After specification of the text population, print copies of each article were obtained. The reference list of each of the 229 texts was then examined to determine if they cited any of the other texts in the population. The citation information was entered into UCINET network analysis software (Borgatti, Everett, & Freeman, 2002) and centrality scores were calculated for each text. Centrality scores measure the prominence of texts within a network and can be further differentiated into in-degree (i.e., how many other articles in the text population cite a specific article) and out-degree (i.e., how many other articles in the text population are cited by a specific article) centrality measures (Moore, Shiell, Hawe, & Haines, 2005). Of particular interest was the identification of texts with high in-degree centrality measures indicating more central or influential roles in the network population (Moore et al., 2005).

Following the calculation of in-degree centrality scores, faction analysis was conducted on the entire network, using the UCINET software. Faction analysis permits the identification of possible network sub-groups or groupings of articles that are more closely connected to one another in their citation ties than to articles in other factions (Borgatti et al., 2002; Hanneman & Riddle, 2005).

To trace the main stream of intellectual flow in the literature, a main path analysis (Hummon & Doreian, 1989) was conducted to map the key links or ‘hubs’ in the evolution of knowledge in athlete development models over time using the software program Pajek (Pajek 1.23, Batagelj & Mrvar, 1996). In the derivation of the main path, the analysis mathematically explores all possible citation paths beginning with an origin text to an ending or terminal text (with no ties leaving it) (Hummon & Doreian, 1990). The main path of research was determined through an examination of the highest ‘traversal counts’ between texts in the citation network (Hummon & Doreian, 1989; Hummon & Doreian, 1990; Hummon, Doreian, & Freeman, 1990). Traversal counts indicate the number of times a text is involved in possible citation pathways from origin to terminal texts (Hummon & Doreian, 1990). As such, traversal counts reveal the importance of specific texts as linking other texts in the network. The end product of the analysis is a longitudinal representation of how the area of athlete development has been shaped by the citations of texts in the field.

Results
The citation network for the 229 texts is presented in Figure 1.

Within Figure 1, each text is represented by a square or triangle. The size of the square or triangle is indicative of the prominence of the text attributed to its in-degree centrality score as relative to the other texts in the population. Larger-sized squares or triangles represent the ‘hubs’ or the more prominent texts. The mean
The in-degree citation score for the citation network is 9.06 (SD = 9.31), meaning that on average texts within the population were cited by approximately nine other texts.

Table 1 presents the most prominent texts in the citation network.

The most central or prominent text in the network is Bloom’s (1985) *Developing Talent in Young People* with an in-degree centrality score of 68. Further support for the prominence of Bloom’s text is provided by the addition of the 56 citations from four often cited chapters within Bloom’s text by Gustin, Kalinowski, Monsaas, and Sosnaik. The additional citations would increase the in-degree centrality score to 124 in-degree citations. The second most prominent text in the network is the article by Ericsson, Krampe, and Tesch-Römer (1993) entitled ‘The role of deliberate practice in the acquisition of expert performance’ with an in-degree centrality score of 62. Finally, the article by Côté (1999) entitled ‘The influence of the family in the development of talent in sport’ was the third most prominent article with an in-degree centrality score of 55. Faction analysis of the total network revealed the presence of two separate sub-groups or factions within the population. Texts in the first faction, represented by triangles in Figure 1, are centered on transition literature and include the two transition-based model articles (Stambulova, 1994; Wylleman et al., 2004). The second faction, represented by circles in Figure 1, focuses on the staged-based talent development literature and includes the remaining five talent development-based model articles (Abbott & Collins, 2004; Bailey & Morley, 2006; Côté, 1999; Durand-Bush & Salmela, 2002; Morgan & Giacobbi, 2006).

Twenty-three texts were found to be on the main path (see Figure 2).
The top of the path represents the most recent articles in the area of athlete development while the bottom of the path indicates the origin texts in the field. An examination of the texts in the main path revealed that fewer than half (5 of 12) of the most prominent texts from the citation network analysis were in the main path. In addition, all texts from the path’s origins to the terminal texts were based upon the expertise and talent development literature. None of the texts along the main path were from the career transition literature. A surveillance of the origin texts also displays a diverse background from areas such as chess, mathematics, music and sport. The text by Helsen, Starkes, and Hodges (1998) in the 14th position indicates the first expertise text devoted solely to athlete development.

One peculiar observation of the main path was the two texts that appear out of chronological order (Young & Salmela, 2002; Starkes, Helsen & Jack, 2001). A secondary analysis of the reference lists of the two identified texts revealed that the articles were out of order in the main path due to a publication lag. Starkes, Helsen and Jack (2001) had cited Young and Salmela (2002) in their work leading to the observed order in the main path.

Table 1. Ten most prominent (cited) texts in the citation network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>In-degree score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Developing talent in young people (Bloom, 1985)</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The role of deliberate practice in the acquisition of expert performance (Ericsson, Krampe &amp; Tesch-Römer, 1993)</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The influence of the family in the development of talent in sport (Côté, 1999)</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Team sports and the theory of deliberate practice (Helsen, Starkes &amp; Hodges, 1998)</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Deliberate practice in sports: what is it anyway? (Starkes, Deakin, Allard, Hodges &amp; Hayes, 1996)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Wrestling with the nature of expertise: a sport specific test of Ericsson, Krampe and Tesch-Römer’s (1993) theory of ‘Deliberate Practice’ (Hodges &amp; Starkes, 1996)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Naturalistic inquiry (Lincoln &amp; Guba 1985)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Talented teenagers (Csikszentmihalyi, Rathunde &amp; Whalen, 1993)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Understanding the career transition of athletes (Baillie &amp; Danish, 1992)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Expert performance: its structure and acquisition (Ericsson &amp; Charness, 1994)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Innate talents: reality or myth? (Howe, Davidson &amp; Sloboda, 1998)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mental links to excellence (Orlick &amp; Partington, 1988)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retirement experiences of successful Olympic athletes (Werthner &amp; Orlick, 1986)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Discussion

The way in which athlete development has been conceptualized in the literature has had a profound influence on the direction of research on athlete development. Based on qualitative analysis (Alfermann & Stambulova, 2007) and citation network analysis (Bruner, Côté et al., 2008), athlete developmental models can be classified...
into two different conceptual approaches: talent development and career transitions. Five of the identified models of athlete development were based on the talent development literature, while two models of athlete development originated from the career transition literature. While previous reviews of athlete development models (Alfermann & Stambulova, 2007; Durand-Bush & Salmela, 2001) have been fruitful, the research synthesis approach taken in the previous reviews – content analysis – does not delineate the incremental development of knowledge over time. The main path analysis conducted in the present study addressed this methodological limitation through the identification of the central or ‘hub’ texts that were vital to the development of current conceptualizations of athlete development. In doing so, the results served to compliment and inform findings from previous research synthesis approaches (e.g., content analysis and citation network analysis) in the field of sport and exercise psychology.

Twenty-three texts appear on the main path. At the top of the path are the most recent texts on athlete development, while texts at the bottom of the path are the core texts from which athlete development models were constructed. All the texts contained in the main path are representative of the talent development approach, with no specific texts representing the career transition approach. The fact that the

Figure 2. Athlete development main path diagram.

(1) Sossinak (1985)
(2) Bloom (1985)
(3) Gustin (1985)
(4) Monsaas (1985)
(5) Kalinowski (1985)
(7) Simon, Chase (1973)
(8) Sloboda, Howe (1993)
(9) Wallingford (1975)
(10) Ericsson, Charness, Tesch-Romer (1993)
(11) Ericsson, Charness (1994)
(12) Ericsson, Lehman (1996)
(13) Charness, Kraajvee, Mayr (1998)
(14) Helsen, Starks, Hodges (1998)
(15) Howe, Davison, Sloboda (1998)
(16) Starkes (2000)
(17) Young, Shinkle (2002)
(18) Starkes, Helsen, Jack (2001)
(20) Baker (2003)
(22) Martindale, Collins, Daubney (2005)
literature contains five athlete development models based on the talent development literature, compared to two models based on the career transition literature, may help explain the absence of career transition texts in the main path analysis. Furthermore, few texts connect the two approaches (Bruner, Côţ et al., 2008), making it difficult for the career transition literature to emerge in the main path. This finding is consistent with the minimal integration of texts between the talent development and career transition approaches noted in the citation network. The main path analysis could be generally divided into four stages of research that led to our present understanding of athlete development in sport.

The first stage of the main path analysis contains the foundation texts published between 1973 and 1991. Five texts (Bloom, 1985; Gustin, 1985; Kalinowski, 1985; Monsaas, 1985; Sosniak, 1985) at the foundation of the main path were contained in Bloom’s report of interviews with talented individuals in disciplines such as mathematics, art, science, and sport. The other four texts at the foundation of the main path present a more experimental approach to expertise development that includes the seminal work of Simon and Chase (1973) on expertise in chess. An interesting feature of the nine texts that form the basis of athlete development models is the emergence of two very different methodological approaches to talent development. Bloom’s approach was qualitative and retrospectively described the entire life of talented individuals, taking into consideration the influence of family, peers, teachers, and coaches. On the other hand, the other four texts (Newell & Rosenbloom, 1981; Simon & Chase, 1973; Sloboda & Howe, 1991; Wallingford, 1975) focus on the information processing resources of human performance by highlighting cognitive mechanisms and the role of practice on perception and performance.

The cognitive and practice approach to expertise development is developed further in the texts that constitute the second stage of the main path. At the core of the second stage we find the work of Ericsson, Charness, Howe, and colleagues (texts 10, 11, 12, 13, and 15) in music and chess. These five texts adopted methods and principles of cognitive psychology to develop and apply the ‘expertise approach,’ and proposed different conditions of practice (i.e. deliberate practice) as the essential elements of expertise development. These authors refer to the work of Bloom, however, they limited their focus to the perceptual and cognitive aspects of skill acquisition. Aspects of motivation, social influences, and psychological variables are acknowledged by the authors of this second stage but not seriously discussed and integrated in their approach to talent development.

The year 1998 marked the beginning of the third stage, featuring the first sport study of the main path analysis (Helsen, Starkes, & Hodges, 1998; text 14). This stage includes four texts (Helsen et al., 1998; Starkes, 2000; Young & Salmela, 2002; Starkes et al., 2001) that were heavily influenced by the work of Ericsson and Charness on deliberate practice in the previous stage. These studies focused mostly on practice activities throughout development by eliciting information from athletes through retrospective questionnaires. The main suggestion from these studies is that a monotonic relationship exists between the number of hours spent in relevant practice activities and the level of performance attained by athletes. When answering a retrospective questionnaire, athletes rated practice activities (i.e. deliberate practice) that are high in effort as also being high in enjoyment. This stage of sport expertise research began to revive the work of Bloom by highlighting important aspects of talent development such as enjoyment, motivation, and psycho-social
influences that were signaled as being critical. However, these aspects were not yet fully integrated in athlete development research.

The fourth stage includes texts that focus on aspects of athlete development in sport that move beyond the sole examination of practice activities. Baker, Côté & Abernethy (2003); text 19) first provided a retrospective study of athlete development that focused on practice but also integrated psycho-social aspects of talent development such as the role of play and other sporting activities during childhood. The design of this study was based on the original study of deliberate practice (Ericsson et al., 1993) and the Developmental Model of Sport Participation (Côté, 1999), which was heavily influenced by the work of Bloom (1985). The remaining texts in this last stage continue to advocate the importance of practice in athlete development but also highlight the cost of deliberate practice at a young age (Baker, 2003), while proposing a view of athlete development that includes both psycho-social and training aspects of expertise (Abbott & Collins, 2004; Martindale, Collins, & Daubney, 2005; Martindale, Collins, & Abraham, 2007).

One of the noted discrepancies between the citation analysis and main path analysis findings was the absence of several prominent texts in the main path. Only five of the top 12 most cited texts in the citation network analysis appeared in the main path. Of the notable exclusions, the third most cited text (n/Côté (1999) did not appear in the main path. One possible explanation to account for this finding involves the skill acquisition focus of the talent development literature around the timing of the Côté (1999) text. In the main path, the texts prior to and after Côté’s (1999) article (Howe, Davidson, & Sloboda, 1998; Starkes, 2000) are ingrained in the expertise literature building upon Ericsson’s and colleagues work on deliberate practice. Côté’s (1999) suggestion of the importance of considering psycho-social factors (e.g., family) on talent development was not cited and integrated into the main path until much later (e.g., Baker, Côté, & Abernethy, 2003; Abbott & Collins, 2004). As highlighted earlier in the discussion, the work of Baker and colleagues (2003) constitutes a critical ‘bridging text’ to integrate the stream of deliberate practice literature and the psychosocial influences proposed by Côté (1999) in the field of talent development. The Baker et al. (2003) text was an empirical test of the propositions suggested by Ericsson et al. (1993) and Côté (1999) on talent development.

Despite the emergence of two transition pieces in the most cited texts (Baillie & Danish, 1992; Werthner & Orlick, 1986), transition-based texts did not appear in the main path. The notable absence of this approach is likely attributed to the far fewer articles grounded in the career transition literature. The creation of athlete development models such as the one by Wylleman and colleagues (2004) integrating the influential transition work of Schlossberg (1981) and the talent development literature may become future bridging texts along the main path much like the work of Baker and colleagues (2003) in the integration of deliberate practice and psychosocial influences in athlete development.

In concert, the findings from the citation network and main path analysis offer a number of future directions. An examination of literature in the area of athlete development revealed two sub-groups (talent development, career transitions) with minimal integration. Further research is necessary exploring the appropriateness of the integration or ‘bridging’ of the two conceptual approaches to athlete development. While recent conceptualizations of athlete development (Wylleman et al.,
In general, the athlete development field is in need of more model testing. Research systematically testing theory such as the work of Ericsson on deliberate practice (e.g., Hodges & Starkes, 1996; Helsen, et al., 1998) has tailed off. Much recent work in the field (identified as being terminal texts in the main path) has consisted of conceptualizations and commentaries (Abbott & Collins, 2004; Martindale et al., 2005; Martindale et al., 2007). While these works represent important bridging efforts between the two conceptual approaches, this is a concern as model testing and model development serve as the fundamental basis to advance knowledge in any scientific area (Thomas, 1992).

Based upon the study findings, additional research is required to explore the psychosocial factors affecting young athlete development at different stages of career transitions. The influential role of family, coaches, and peers on young athlete development has been conceptualized and reported in the sport psychology literature (e.g., Bruner, Munroe-Chandler, et al., 2008). However, further research is necessary describing the role of social agents during a young athlete's career. The utilization of prospective designs, multi-methods, and retrospective interviews have been advocated to gain a better understanding of the role of social support networks (e.g., coach, parent, siblings, peers) on the athlete's career transitions and development (Wyllemann, Alfermann, & Lavallee, 1999). In addition, alternative methodological approaches used in other areas of developmental psychology (e.g., dynamic systems-based observation techniques; Hollenstein, 2007) to study athlete development may be informative. Future research should also consider the context of the athletic environment and its relationship with young athlete development. Literature in developmental psychology has pointed to the importance of evaluating the developmental features of an activity setting (e.g., appropriate structure, supportive relationships, opportunities to belong, positive social norms, etc.; NRCIM, 2002). An understanding of these features is viewed as paramount to the obtainment of a number of desired developmental outcomes from the setting (e.g., competence, confidence, character, connection, caring; Lerner, Fisher, & Weinberg, 2000; Fraser-Thomas, Côté, & Deakin, 2005). Aligning with this research is a greater understanding of the key developmental constructs viewed as ideal outcomes of athlete development. Through such investigations, researchers will be better positioned to offer empirically supported recommendations to sport organizations and government bodies.

The novel methodology of citation network and main path analysis introduced and used in this study holds great promise in the field of sport and exercise psychology. The statistical analysis of the citations in the present study provided a visual representation of the main stream of literature shaping our present conceptualization of athlete development. While other review strategies in the area of sport and exercise psychology have provided content analysis (e.g., coaching review; Gilbert & Trudel, 2004) and a statistical summary of the results in a field (e.g., meta-analysis by Burke, Carron, Eys, Ntoumanis, & Estabrooks, 2006), researchers have not yet analytically explored the interconnectedness of literature shaping each field. The present study served to demonstrate how the creation and advancement of knowledge can be partially attributed to the acceptance and integration of ideas through the citation practices of researchers in a field. Developing a genealogy
or main path of specific areas in sport and exercise psychology would provide a current, structural overview of these areas and highlight specific approaches and conceptualizations that are predominant or neglected in an area of study. In this manner, the potential for integration of theory from other areas or disciplines can be promoted. Further exploration of topics using a citation path approach in the field of sport and exercise psychology is encouraged.
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